



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/676,211 | 10/01/2003 | Chesley P. Dillon | GP-303949 2760/128 | 9698 |

7590 09/07/2005

General Motors Corporation
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21
300 Renaissance Center
P.O. Box 300
Detroit, MI 48265-3000

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

CAI, WAYNE HUU

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2681

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/676,211 | DILLON, CHESLEY P. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Wayne Cai | 2681 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/01/2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-14, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Webb (US 2002/0143664 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 8, 14, Webb discloses a method, a computer usable medium, and a system for notifying a subscriber of events, comprising:

- receiving an subscriber event request at a call center (i.e., gift reminder service provider) (paragraphs 0027);
- creating an event activation table based on the received subscriber event request (paragraphs 0028);
- sending the event activation table to an event table storage system (paragraph 0029, fig. 1, elements 42 & 44);
- sending a subscriber notification from the event table storage system in accordance with the event activation table (paragraph 0030).

Regarding claims 3, 10, and 16, Webb discloses the method, computer usable medium, and system of claims 1, 8, and 14 as described above. Webb further discloses

wherein receiving the subscriber event request comprises: receiving at least one event with an associated notification date and time (paragraph 0032).

Regarding claims 4, 11, and 17, Webb also discloses the method, computer usable medium, and system of claims 3, 10, 16 as described above. Webb also discloses wherein creating the event activation table comprises: linking the received event and the associated notification date and time with an access identifier (i.e., login/password) (paragraph 0028).

Regarding claims 5, 12, Webb discloses the method, and computer usable medium of claims 1, and 8 as described above. Webb also discloses wherein sending the event activation table to the event table storage system comprises: establishing a data connection between the call center (fig. 1, elements 30, 42, and 46) and the event table storage system (element 76) and transmitting the event activation table from the call center to the event table storage system using the data connection (paragraph 0030; fig. 1 and its descriptions).

Regarding claims 6, 13, and 18, Webb discloses the method, computer usable medium, and system of claims 4, 11, and 17 as described above. Webb also discloses wherein sending the subscriber notification comprises:

- reading a time signal of a real time clock (paragraph 0032);
- determining when the time signal corresponds with at least one date and time from the event activation table (paragraph 0032);
- activating an event notification system based on the determination (paragraph 0032);

- providing the event in accordance with the linked access identifier
(paragraphs 0033-0034).

Regarding claims 7, and 19, Webb discloses the method, and system of claims 1, and 14 as described above, except for disclosing wherein the event table storage system is a telematic unit (fig. 1, element 70, and its descriptions).

Regarding claim 20, Webb discloses the system of claim 14 as described above. Webb also discloses wherein the event notification system is a multimedia system (figs. 5 & 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Webb.

Regarding claims 2, 9, 15, Webb discloses the method, computer usable medium, and the systems of claims 1, 8, and 14 as described above. Webb further discloses determining a current notification system activity (paragraphs 0029-0030), but except for disclosing suspending the current notification system activity for the duration of the subscriber notification, and resuming the current notification system activity upon termination of the subscriber notification. It is however obvious to one skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2681

include these features because during the process of notifying the current activity to user, the system should suspend and notify the particular activity; it then resumes the notification activity afterward for any other activity as needed.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hollenberg (US – 6,091,956)


Demsky et al (US 2004/0078436 A1)

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (571) 272-7798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday; 9:00-6:00; alternating Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Wayne Cai
Examiner
Art Unit 2681


ERIK A. GARY
PRIMARY EXAMINER